

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,397	07/06/2006	Laurie A. Hodgins	RR-623 PCT/US	2521
20427 7590 01/22/2009 RODMAN RODMAN			EXAMINER	
IO STEWART PLACE SUITE 2CE WHITE PLAINS, NY 10603			DITRANI, ANGELA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3676	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/585,397 HODGINS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

P	ngela W. Di Halli	3070	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea Period for Reply	rs on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(e) and 17 CFR 1.35(e) and 17 CFR 1.35(e) and 18 CFR 1.35(e) and 1	E OF THIS COMMU). In no event, however, ma pply and will expire SIX (6) use the application to become	INICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this core abandonED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This ac	tion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received i	n Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies	not received.	
Attachment(s)	a □ 1-t÷	O (DTO 443)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Intervi	w Summary (PTO-413)	

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/CE)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/06.	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/585,397 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "temporarily" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "temporarily" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term "temporarily" renders the scope of the claim indefinite insofar as because the stability of the foam introduced into the well bore can not be determined.
- 3. Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The terms "relatively high" and "relatively low" in claims 11 and 13 are a relative terms which render each claim indefinite. The terms "relatively high" and "relatively low" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The terms "relatively high" and "relatively low" renders the scope of the weight of the polyacrylamide indefinite insofar as because it is not clear as to how "high" and "low" the weight of the polyacrylamide can be to be considered "relatively high" or "relatively low."

Application/Control Number: 10/585,397 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

4. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "effective" in claims 19 and 20 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "effective" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term "effective" renders the scope of the claim indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by the phrases "gelant effective viscosity" and "foam effective viscosity."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3676

 Claims 1, 2, and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (US 6,439,308) in view of Dalyrymple et al. (US 5,944,106).

With respect to independent claim 1, Wang discloses a method comprising the steps of first introducing a gelant into the well bore, wherein the well bore is in fluid communication with a subterranean formation; and second introducing a temporarily stable foam into the well bore in order to overdisplace the gelant from the well bore and into the formation (col. 2, I, 40-59; col. 4, I, 53-67). The reference further teaches that the first gelant slug enters a high permeability zone and/or thief zone and reduces the permeability therein (col. 3, I, 15-30). Wang, however, fails to explicitly disclose the method of reducing the permeability of the high permeability zone as a method for reducing water influx into the well bore. Dalyrymple et al. teaches methods of selectively treating high permeability zones within a reservoir, wherein the high permeability zones are taught to produce water or be subject to water influx, for the purpose of preventing stimulation of a water producing zone during oil production stimulation (col. 1, I. 37-49). Since both Wang and Dalyrymple teach methods of reducing the permeability of high permeability zones and Dalyrymple further teaches that high permeability zones can be subject to water influx wherein it is desirable to reduce water flux of the reduction thereof, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the method of reducing the permeability of high permeability zones of Wang within a reservoir comprising high permeability zones subject to water influx in order to yield the predictable result of reducing the water influx therein, thus preventing the stimulation of

Art Unit: 3676

any water producing zones during the enhanced oil production operations conducted therein.

With respect to depending claim 2, Wang teaches the gelant comprising a polymer (col. 2, I. 44-46; col. 3, I. 31-37).

With respect to depending claims 14-18, Wang teaches wherein the foam is comprised of water and a surfactant, wherein the surfactant is comprised of an olefin sulfonate, wherein the surfactant is comprised of an alpha olefin sulfonate, wherein a concentration of the surfactant in the foam is no greater than about 0.1 percent by weight of the foam, and wherein the concentration of the surfactant in the foam is no greater than about 0.05 percent by weight of the foam (col. 4, I. 8-26).

With respect to depending claims 19 and 20, Wang teaches wherein a polymer may be added to the aqueous solution for the purpose of increasing the viscosity of the displacing foam composition (col. 3, I. 62-65). Although the reference fails to explicitly state wherein the gelant "effective" viscosity and the foam "effective" viscosity are approximately equal, or, the gelant "effective" viscosity is less than or equal to the foam "effective" viscosity, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide for a viscosity of the gelant that is approximately equal to or less than the viscosity of the foam in order provide the optimal foam viscosity capable of achieving the desired gelant displacement within the high permeability zones of the formation.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,397
Art Unit: 3676

Claims 3-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Wang in view of Dalyrymple as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of
 Syndansk et al. (US 4,683,949).

Wang, in view of Dalyrymple, teaches the method of plugging high permeability zones of a subterranean formation as stated above, and, further, teaches a polymer. such as polyacrylamide, wherein the amount of polymer used within the gelant slug is no greater than about 2 percent by weight of the gelant (col. 5, I, 30-32). The reference. however, fails to teach wherein the gelant is further comprised of a cross-linker, wherein the cross-linker is comprised of chromium ions when the polymer is polyacrylamide. wherein the weight ratio by weight of the polyacrylamide to the chromium ions in the gelant is no greater that about 80 to 1, wherein the formation is a fractured formation. wherein the concentration of the polyacrylamide in the gelant is no greater than about 1 percent by weight of the gelant, wherein the permeability of the formation is greater than or equal to about 1000 mD, wherein the polymer is comprised of a relatively high molecular weight polyacrylamide and wherein a concentration of the polyacrylamide in the gelant is between about 0.2 and 1 percent by weight of the gelant, wherein the formation has a permeability and wherein the permeability of the formation is less than about 1000 mD, wherein the polymer is comprised of a relatively low molecular weight polyacrylamide and wherein a concentration of the polyacrylamide in the gelant is at least about 1 percent by weight of the gelant.

Sydansk et al. teaches methods of reducing the permeability of relatively high permeability regions and anomalies, such as fractures and fracture networks (col. 7, I.

Art Unit: 3676

2-13), within a subterranean formation wherein a gelant comprising an acrylamide polymer of polyacrylamide or partially hydrolyzed polyacryalmide having an average molecular weight within the range of about 10,000 to about 50,000,000 (col. 3, I. 22-36) is crosslinked with a crosslinker comprising chromium ions (col. 3. I. 43-57), and. further, wherein the weight ratio of the acrylamide polymer to the chromium ions falls within the range of about 1:1 to about 500:1 (col. 5, I. 61-68), for the purpose of providing a gel that is capable of being tailored to a specific application within a subterranean environment so that the demands of the subterranean zone with respect to the desired gelation rate, resultant gel strength and stability are achieved. Since both Wang and Sydansk et al. teach methods of plugging high permeability streaks within a formation, and Sydansk et al. teaches a composition capable of being tailored to meet the needs of a particular formation by controlling the gelation rate, gel strength and stability thereof, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a gelant composition as taught by Sydansk within the method of Wang in order to yield the predictable result of developing an enhanced gel within the method of Wang that is most suitable to meet the needs of the formation into which it is injected.

With respect to depending claims 10-13, although the combination is silent to the permeability of the formation, and further whether a "relatively high" or "relatively low" molecular weigh polyacrylamide is employed therein at the particular concentration, Syndansk teaches a wide range of average molecular weight of the polyacrylamide taught therein. It would have been an obvious matter of choice or design to one having

Art Unit: 3676

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a "relatively high" or "relatively low" molecular weigh polyacrylamide at the particular concentration by weight of the gelant as claimed insofar as because it has been held that "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F. 2d 454, 456, 105 USQQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to employ such an optimized composition within the method of Wang, in view of Dalyrymple, in order to achieve the desired gelation rate, resultant gel strength and stability of the gel once formed within the high permeability zones of the formation so as to reduce water influx therein and enhance subsequent oil production therefrom.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela M. DiTrani whose telephone number is (571)272-2182. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer Gay can be reached on (571)272-7029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AD 01/16/09

/Zakiya W. Bates/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676